Form C-104 Rev. 09/2008

VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

		Date April 2	
Contract ID <u>090220-901</u>		10. 3910603 / 44-2(22	1)
County Pulaski	Origit	nal Bid Cost <u>\$4,979,656.04</u>	
Contractor Chester Bross Const	ruction By	Scott Gander	
Designed By Robby R. Rakestraw	Phone Phone	High Carries are a second to the contract of t	
VECP# <u>09-23</u> (to be comp	leted by C.O.) VECP	or VECP/PDUX	
proposes substituting a SP125	led for a SP125BSM asphalt in BSMR in lieu of this SP125B e to a cost reduction in the ma	mix. Chester Bross Construction BSM. The advantage of this cha aterial cost of the mix. This can	n nge
2. Estimate of reduction in constru	ction costs. \$72,867.93		
3. Prediction of any effects the prop maintenance and operations. This change is predicted to ha			as
		APR 2 1 2009	
4. Anticipated date for submittal of		Rolla Project Office	
4. Anneipared date for submittal of Specifications.	(deranen chauße(a) of steam		-IWA
	April 2, 2009	MC .	D DIV EIVED
	(date)		21 2000
Deadline for issuing a change or contract completion time or deli-		t reduction, noting the <u>effect o</u> <u>DA</u> ADA	
April 17, 2009	No effect	Planning ROW.	
(date)		(effect) Env Review	
6. Dates of any previous or concurr	ent submission of the same	proposal. Fin Mgr	
		in Tech Bridge	
	N/A (date and/or dates)	C ivil Rights	
		Salety Operations	
		<u>TE1</u> <u>TE2 <i>Fe6</i>6</u>	
		1E3 1E4	
and the second of the second o		A Company of the Comp	

Additional Comments:

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **						
Con	mente: Recommend	Japproval as 50/50 VE **Moral Submitted By Resident Engineer**	4-6-09 Date			
Com	ments; Recommended Recommended Rejection Recommended	las 75/25 as a Practic Tom Sleff District Engineer	al Design VE 4-6-09 Date			
***************************************	and an annual contraction of the state of th	<u> </u>				
Com	ments:	mend approval of this VECP as a	75/25			
回	Approval Recommended	The police THE Medicales	Apri/22,2009			
	Rejection Recommended	Federal Highway Administration Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects	Date			
Com	ments:					
		David D. Cooper				
RÍ	Approval		4-23-09			
	Rejection	State Construction and Materials Engineer BAN				

Distribution: Resident Engineer, Project Manager, District Construction & Materials Engineer, State Construction & Materials Engineer, Value Engineering Administrator - MoDOT P. O. Box 278, Jefferson City, MO 65102

CHESTER BROSS CONSTRUCTION COMPA

P.O. Box 430 Hannibal, MO 63401

Chester Bross, President "Equal Opportunity Employer"

Ph: 573-221-5958 Fax: 573-221-1892

4/2/2009

Contract I.D. 090220-901 Job No. J910603 Route J-44, Pulaski County

VECP

Material Cost for SP12585M Total Unit Qty **Bid Item** 4030132 ASPHALTIC CONCRETE 56.56 \$ 924,082,94 TN MIXTURE PG 76-22 (SP125BSM MIX) 16,338.10

Material Cost for SP125BSMR Total Unit Qty **Bid Item** 4030132 ASPHALTIC CONCRETE 52.10 \$ 851,215 01 16,338.10 TN MIXTURE PG 76-22 (SP125BSM MIX)

> TOTAL SAVINGS S 72,867.93

Project Manager

CHESTER BROSS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

P.O. Box 430 Hannibal, MO 53401 Chester Bross, President "Equal Opportunity Employer" Ph. 573-221-5958 Fax: 573-221-1892

Contract I.D. 090220-901 Job No. J910603 Route I-44, Pulaski County

VECP

			Bid Pric	e for	ç .
Bid Item	Qty	<u>Unit</u>	<u>SP125</u> E	<u> </u>	<u>Total</u>
4030132 ASPHALTIC CONCRETE					
MIXTURE PG 76-22 (SP125BSM MIX)	16,338.10	TN	\$ 8	4.90	3,387,104.69

<u>Bid Item</u>	Qty	<u>Unit</u>	 SP1258SMR Total			
4030132 ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	•					
MIXTURE PG 76-22 (SP125BSM MIX)	16,338.10	TN	\$ 80.44	\$	1,314,236.76	

TOTAL SAVINGS \$ 72,867.93

Project Manager



Thomas J Anna/SC/MODOT 04/07/2009 10:05 AM

To Brian A Williams/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Mike L Wake/D9/MODOT@MODOT

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: VE J9I0603

Brian.

To follow-up our conversation, I'm sending this to you for any filing that may be needed. After speaking with Mike Wake in D-9, we do not feel changing the surface mix from SP125BSM to SP125BSMR will be a problem. We can consider I-44 within the project limits "rural". However, taking the mix down to a BMSR from a BSM will ultimately slightly reduce the pavement design/life cycle and should be evaluated with the reduction in design life in mind. i.e., this VE is not an equivalent design substitution.

Let me know if you have any additional questions.

Thomas J. Anna 1617 Missouri Blvd. P.O. Box 270 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Office: 573-522-1948 Fax: 573-751-8682



Brian A Williams/SC/MODOT



Brian A Williams/SC/MODOT 04/07/2009 09:31 AM

To Thomas J Anna/SC/MODOT@MODOT

CC

Subject Fw: VE J9I0603

Tom,

I would like to discuss this VE with you.

Brian A. Williams, PE Construction and Materials Liaison Engineer Phone (573) 751-2806 Cell (573) 301-2583 Fax (573) 526-4354 brian.williams@modot.mo.gov

---- Forwarded by Brian A Williams/SC/MODOT on 04/07/2009 09:30 AM -----

Victoria L Woods/D9/MODOT

04/06/2009 03:35 PM

To Mike L Wake/D9/MODOT@MODOT, Brian A Williams/SC/MODOT@MODOT, "Gonzalez, Felix" <Felix.Gonzalez@fhwa.dot.gov>

cc Judy E Talbert/D9/MODOT@MODOT

Subject VE J9I0603 I-44 Pulaski Attached is VE proposal submitted by Bross for I-44 Pulaski. Specifically this VE relates to a change in the mix design from SMA to SMR. I recommend approval of the proposal as 50/50 VE; an SMR mix will allow use of local materials.

The hard copy of the VE will be routed for your signature.



J910603 VE Proposal #1.pdf

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- □ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- X Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

This is a Practical Design VE. Because this project can be classified as "rural", the asphalt mix change from SP125BSM to SP125BSMR is approved.

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

there are special instructions, make note of them here.	need to	be scanne	ed into the c	latabase.	11
	٠				
				•	